UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,004	08/26/2003	Martin Lund	14223US02	3309
23446 7590 09/10/2008 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, CHIRAG R	
CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/648,004	LUND, MARTIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHIRAG R. PATEL	2141
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and contains and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a distance of the Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the lambda of the l	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in App iority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pre-appeal filed July 2, 2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-30 under 35 USC 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Rietze et al. (US 6,904,482) and Abbodanzio et al. (US 2003/0188222).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 7-15, 17-25, and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rietze et al. - hereinafter Rietze (US 6,904,482).

As per claims 1, 11, and 21 Rietze discloses a method for communicating information in a server, the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2141

receiving at least one packet from a first blade server of a plurality of blade servers, at least two of which is coupled to a common switch via a common bus (Col 3 lines 24-39)

determining at least one identifier associated with at least a second blade server based on at least a portion of said received at least one packet; and (Col 7 lines 30-36) routing via a common switch, at least a portion of said at least one received packet to at least said second blade server. (Col 3 lines 48-67)

As per claims 2, 12, and 22, Rietze discloses the method according to claim 1, comprising transferring said at least a portion of said at least one received packet to said at least said second blade server via said common switch. (Col 3 lines 48-67)

As per claims 3, 13, and 23, Rietze discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein said common switch comprises a switch blade coupled to said common bus, and wherein said switch bade controls said routing of said at least a portion of said received packet. (Col 3 lines 48-67, Col 7 lines 30-36)

As per claims 4, 14, and 24, Rietze discloses the method according to claim 3, further comprising determining at least one identifier of said switch blade. (Col 7 lines 30-36)

As per claims 5, 15, and 25, Rietze discloses the method according to claim 4, further comprising determining at least one identifier of said first blade server. (Col 7 lines 30-36)

As per claims 7, 17, and 27, Rietze discloses the method according to claim 1, comprising: acquiring at least one identifier of said first blade server; and transferring via said common switch, said acquired at least one identifier of said first blade server to at least said second blade server. (Col 3 lines 48-67, Col 7 lines 30-36)

As per claims 8, 18, and 28, Rietze discloses the method according to claim 1, comprising broadcasting at least a portion of said at least one received packet on said common switch. (Col 3 lines 48-67, Col 7 lines 30-36)

As per claims 9, 19, and 29, Rietze discloses the method according to claim 1, comprising receiving a broadcast containing said at least one received packet. (Col 7 lines 30-36)

As per claims 10, 20, and 30, Rietze discloses the method according to claim 1, comprising receiving at least one packet from said second blade server and transferring via a common switch, said at least at portion of said at least one packet received from

Art Unit: 2141

said second blade server to at least one of said first blade server and a third blade server. (Col 3 lines 11-23, supports more than 2 server blades)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 16, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rietze (US 6,904,482) in view of Abbodanzio et al. hereinafter Abbodanizo (US 2003/0188222).

As per claims 6, 16, and 26, Rietze discloses the method according to claim 5, wherein said identifier of said first blade server, said identifier of said second blade server and said identifier of said switch blade each comprises one or more MAC address. (Col 3 lines 30-36) Rietze fails to disclose said switch blade each comprises an IP address. Abbodanizo disloses said switch blade comprises an IP address. ([0023]) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to disclose said switch blade comprises an IP address in the disclosure of Rietze. The motivation for doing do would have been to implement a Local area network (LAN). ([0023])

Application/Control Number: 10/648,004 Page 6

Art Unit: 2141

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chirag R Patel whose telephone number is (571)272-7966. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

/C. R. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2141

> /Jason D Cardone/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145